

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/479,146	01/07/2000	STEPHEN FULD	99-051-TAP	2688
75	590 11/20/2002			
TIMOTHY R SCHULTE			EXAMINER	
STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION ONE STORAGETEK DRIVE MS 4309 LOUISVILLE, CO 800284309			MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
¢ ,		09/479,146	FULD, STEPHEN
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael C Maskulinski	2184
- The MAII ING D	ATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Pariod for Reply			
THE MAILING DATE Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from If the period for reply specific FIND period for reply is specifications.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION INVAILABLE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CFF of the mailing date of this communication ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a diffied above, the maximum statutory pet or extended period for reply will, by stiffice later than three months after the maximum safter the maximum statutory pet that the state of t	(1.100(a)	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. RANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	08 October 2002 .	
a NM This action is	FINAI 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
,-		lowance except for formal mader Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the abov	ve claim(s) <u>1-11 and 15</u> is/a	re withdrawn from considerat	ion.
	_ is/are allowed		
	and 16-18 is/are rejected.		
	_ is/are objected to.		
8)☐ Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)∐ The specification	on is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s)	filed on 07 January 2000 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ ot	pjected to by the Examiner.
	the supplementation	to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).
11) The proposed	drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] approved b)[_	disapproved by the Examiner.
	orrected drawings are required		
12)∐ The oath or de	claration is objected to by the	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 119 and 120	· · •	0 (440(a) (d) an (f)
13) Acknowledgm	nent is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.0	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).
a)∏ All b)∐ S	Some * c) None of:		
1 Certifie	ed copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.	
2 ☐ Certifie	nd copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	Application No
app	plication from the Internation	r a list of the certified copies r	IOL LECCIACO.
14) Acknowledgme	ent is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	Latina at the foreign langua	ge provisional application ha omestic priority under 35 U.S	s been received.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :

Àrt Unit: 2184

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stolowitz, U.S. Patent 6,018,778, and further in view of "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin.

Referring to the limitation "the storage elements are magnetic tape drives or a track of a magnetic tape" of claims 12 and 16, in the Abstract, Stolowitz discloses a disk drive array. Further, in Figure 5, Stolowitz discloses a multiplexer (510) for changing the data from a parallel state to a serial state. However, Stolowitz doesn't explicitly disclose a magnetic tape having data blocks and a parity block in which the data blocks and the parity block are serially arranged on the magnetic tape with the parity block following the data blocks and the parity block being based on the data blocks. The article "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," discloses a magnetic tape that has channels, which are tracks on a magnetic tape. These channels comprise both data and parity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to use a magnetic tape in the redundant storage system of Stolowitz. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because as indicated in the figure of the article, "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," the data parity coming from the tape is applied to a multiplexer much the same way as the data and parity coming from the disk array in Stolowitz.

Art Unit: 2184

Further, on pages 12-13 and in Figure 5, the Applicant discloses that an obvious variation of the magnetic tape drive is an array of disks. Specifically, on page 13, lines 5-7, the Applicant discloses that *controller 18 writes to and reads from storage elements in the same manner as described with reference to the track of magnetic tape 14 in FIG.*3. Also, the system of Stolowitz is compatible with a tape disk drive because it contains a SCSI bus which is a common interface for devices such as CD-ROM drives and backup tape drives as well as hard disks (see column 4, lines 15-17), and in column 8, lines 32-33, Stolowitz discloses the use of a serial stream when reading from the disk drives which is necessary for a tape drive.

Referring to the remaining limitations in claims 12 and 16:

- a. In lines 6-7, the article "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," discloses that one even parity check bit C(i) is provided within each subgroup S(i) (the parity block following the data block).
- b. In the Abstract, Stolowitz discloses a disk drive array with parity data based upon data blocks and a disk drive array controller that carries out disk drive data transfers.
- c. In column 6, lines 20-22, Stolowitz discloses methods and circuitry for effecting synchronous data transfer to and from an array of disk drives (reading blocks sequentially from respective data storage elements).
- d. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses reconstructing missing data in the event of any single drive failure (determining if the data block currently being read is good or bad).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,146 Page 4
Art Unit: 2184

- e. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses that the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register—data being entered shifts old data out (providing the data block currently being read to the host if the currently being read data block does not follow a bad data block).
- f. In column 8, lines 50-55, Stolowitz discloses that once the data from the last drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (if one of the data blocks is bad, storing the good data blocks following the bad block in sequential order).
- g. In column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator.
- h. In column 8, lines 50-55, Stolowitz discloses that once the data from the last drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive (reading the parity block from the magnetic tape after all of the data blocks have been read).
- i. In column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed

Art Unit: 2184

drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline (reading the parity block from the parity storage element), the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (if one of the data blocks is bad, reconstructing the bad data block from the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block in order to form a reconstructed good data block; providing the reconstructed good data block to the host; and providing the stored good data blocks to the host in sequential order after the reconstructed good data block has been provided to the host).

j. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses an N+1 stage pipeline register (a buffer for storing the good data blocks read by the controller after the bad data block until the controller reconstructs the bad data block to preserve ordering of the data blocks during reading).

Referring to claim 13, in column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator (accumulating parity of the good data blocks includes exclusive ORing the parity of the good data blocks read prior to the good data block currently being read with the good data block currently being read).

Art Unit: 2184

Referring to claim 14, in column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (reconstructing a bad data block includes exclusive ORing the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block).

Referring to claim 17, in column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator (the parity accumulator accumulates parity of the good data blocks by exclusive ORing the parity of the good data blocks read prior to the good data block currently being read with the good data block currently being read).

Referring to claim 18, in column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents

Art Unit: 2184

(reconstructing a bad data block includes exclusive ORing the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 3,558,811
- U.S. Patent 3,633,162

"Error Detection and Correction" IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/479,146 Page 8

Art Unit: 2184

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C Maskulinski whose telephone number is (703) 308-6674. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-5 and Fri. 7:30-4 (second Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MM November 18, 2002

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100